

EMC² - 2009-2010

ORAL PLEADING “TEAM & ORATOR” SCORE-SHEET

TEAM CODE: _____	ROUND LOCATION: _____
DATE: _____	SESSION TIME: _____
TEAM ROLE: Complainant <input type="checkbox"/>	TEAM ROLE: Respondent <input type="checkbox"/>

CRITERIA FOR SCORING ORAL PLEADINGS:

The following Assessment Methodology is to be applied to **each Team and each Orator**. A Panellist must record a score in the relevant Point Box and the Individual Criterion Score Box. A maximum score of 100 Points is permitted for each Criterion. Panellist will provide a Sub-Total of the Individual Criterion Score and then divide that Score by 4 in order to calculate the Overall Team Score and the Overall Orator Score.

TEAM SCORE

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	POOR 0 TO 49 POINTS	AVERAGE 50 TO 64 POINTS	GOOD 65 TO 84 POINTS	EXCELLENT 85 TO 100 POINTS	INDIVIDUAL CRITERION SCORE
1. COMMAND OF THE ISSUES – 25% Weighting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Recognition of legal issues; ▪ Display of general knowledge related to the legal issues; and ▪ Proper analysis and weighting of legal issues. 					
2. ARGUMENTATION - 25% Weighting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Logic of arguments; ▪ Reasoning of arguments; ▪ Ingenuity of arguments; ▪ Ability to analogise with legal or general scenarios; ▪ Persuasiveness of arguments; and ▪ Rebuttal/Sur-rebuttal is correctly utilised. 					
3. LEGAL ANALYSIS - 25% Weighting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Identification of the applicable treaties/law; ▪ Identification of the applicable WTO jurisprudence; ▪ Knowledge and understanding of WTO law; and ▪ Analysis of the applicable treaties/law to the facts 					
4. STYLE - 25% Weighting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Level of organisation and structure of arguments; ▪ Manipulation of relevant authorities; ▪ Responses to Panellist questions; ▪ Eloquence and clarity of presentation; ▪ Teamwork; and ▪ Time Management 					
<i>SUB-TOTAL OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERION SCORES (MAXIMUM 400 POINTS)</i>					
OVERALL TEAM SCORE (DIVIDE BY 4)					
PANELLIST _____	SIGNATURE _____				

ORATOR SCORES

ORATOR – APEAKER NO. 1 – EAME:					
ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	POOR 0 TO 49 POINTS	AVERAGE 50 TO 64 POINTS	GOOD 65 TO 84 POINTS	EXCELLEN T 85 TO 100 POINTS	INDIVIDUAL CRITERION SCORE
1. COMMAND OF THE ISSUES					
2. ARGUMENTATION					
3. LEGAL ANALYSIS					
4. STYLE					
<i>SUB-TOTAL OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERION SCORES (MAXIMUM 400 POINTS)</i>					
OVERALL ORATOR SCORE (DIVIDE BY 4)					
ORATOR – APEAKER NO. 2 – EAME:					
1. COMMAND OF THE ISSUES					
2. ARGUMENTATION					
3. LEGAL ANALYSIS					
4. STYLE					
<i>SUB-TOTAL OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERION SCORES (MAXIMUM 400 POINTS)</i>					
OVERALL ORATOR SCORE (DIVIDE BY 4)					
ORATOR – APEAKER NO. 3 – EAME:					
1. COMMAND OF THE ISSUES					
2. ARGUMENTATION					
3. LEGAL ANALYSIS					
4. STYLE					
<i>SUB-TOTAL OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERION SCORES (MAXIMUM 400 POINTS)</i>					
OVERALL ORATOR SCORE (DIVIDE BY 4)					
NON-ORATOR - ATH TEAM MEMBER - NAME:					
PANELIST			SIGNATURE		