



**EMC² - 2011-2012
WRITTEN SUBMISSION SCORE-SHEET**

TEAMCODE: _____	DATE: _____
TYPE OF WRITTEN SUBMISSION COMPLAINANT <input type="checkbox"/>	TYPE OF WRITTEN SUBMISSION RESPONDENT <input type="checkbox"/>

CRITERIA FOR SCORING THE WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS:

The following Assessment Methodology is to be applied to a Team's Written Submission document. A maximum score of 100 Points is permitted for each Criterion. A Panellist must record a score in the relevant Point Box and provide a Sub-Total of all Individual Criterion Scores and then divide that Score by 4 in order to calculate the Overall Team Score.

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	POOR 0 TO 49 POINTS	AVERAGE 50 TO 64 POINTS	GOOD 65 TO 84 POINTS	EXCELLEN T 85 TO 100 POINTS	INDIVIDUAL CRITERION SCORE
1. COMMAND OF THE ISSUES – 25% Weighting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Recognition of legal issues; ▪ Display of general knowledge related to the legal issues; and ▪ Weighting of legal issues 					
2. ARGUMENTATION – 25% Weighting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Logic of arguments; ▪ Reasoning of argument ▪ Ingenuity of arguments; and ▪ Persuasiveness of arguments 					
3. LEGAL ANALYSIS – 25% Weighting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Identification of the applicable treaties/law; ▪ Identification of the applicable jurisprudence; ▪ Application of the treaties/law to the facts; and ▪ Analysis of the applicable treaties/law to the facts 					
4. STYLE – 25% Weighting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Organisation of legal argument; ▪ Ability to utilise legal language, in particular “WTO language”; ▪ Correct grammar, spelling and referencing authorities; and ▪ Clarity of the system of citation 					
SUB-TOTAL OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERION SCORES (MAXIMUM 400 POINTS)					
OVERALL TEAM SCORE (DIVIDE BY 4)					
PANELLIST NAME: _____	PANELLIST SIGNATURE: _____				

